

Message Text

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 01 STATE 033462

21

ORIGIN OES-04

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 TRSE-00 FEA-01 AID-05 CEQ-01 CIAE-00

COA-01 COME-00 DODE-00 EB-07 EPA-01 INR-07 IO-10 L-02

NSF-01 NSC-05 NSAE-00 PM-03 SS-15 SP-02 EUR-12 PA-01

PRS-01 USIA-06 /086 R

DRAFTED BY OES/ENP/EN:H.BENGELSDORF/COMMERCE:W

APPROVED BY OES/ENP/EN:L.GRANT

TREASURY:W.BARREDA (IN SUBSTANCE)

CEQ - E.LUBENSKY (IN SUBSTANCE)

EPA - R.PORTER (IN SUBSTANCE)

EUR/RPE - D. LAMB (IN DRAFT)

EB/OT/STA - M. NAYOR (SUBSTANCE)

----- 027788

R 132107Z FEB 75

FM SECSTATE WASHDC

TO ALL OECD CAPITALS

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE STATE 033462

E.O. 11652: N/A

TAGS: SENV, EEC, OECD

SUBJECT: EC COMMISSION PAPER ON THE POLLUTER-PAYS PRINCIPLE
(PPP)

REF: (A) COMMISSION MEMO TO MEMBER STATES (SEC(74)4264),

SUBJECT: COMMUNITY APPROACH TO STATE AIDS IN ENVIRONMENTAL
MATTERS; (B) EC BRUSSELS 0260; (C) EC BRUSSELS 445

1. SUMMARY: FOLLOWING ARE OUR COMMENTS ON REF. (A).

THESE ARE INTENDED FOR THE INFORMATION AND BACKGROUND OF
ADDRESSEES AND FOR USE WITH HOST GOVERNMENTS AS SPECIFIED
BELOW.

2. ACTION REQUESTED: USEC SHOULD PRESENT THESE VIEWS IN-
FORMALLY AND ORALLY TO THE EC COMMISSION, BEARING IN MIND
THAT DOCUMENT TO OUR KNOWLEDGE HAS NOT YET BEEN PUBLISHED

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 02 STATE 033462

AS OFFICIAL EC COMMISSION PAPER. FYI: WE ARE GIVING SOME

THOUGHT TO INCLUDING THE SUBJECT ON THE AGENDA FOR THE NEXT US-EC BILATERAL ENVIRONMENTAL MEETING SCHEDULED FOR MAY. END FYI. OTHER ADDRESSEES MAY DRAW UPON COMMENTS BELOW IF THE SUBJECT OF COMMISSION POSITION RE PPP COMES UP IN DISCUSSION WITH HOST GOVERNMENTS. IN DRAWING ON THIS MATERIAL, POSTS SHOULD MAKE CLEAR THAT THEY ARE CONVEYING INFORMAL, CANDID, USG VIEWS ON THE DOCUMENT; THAT WE RECOGNIZE THAT OECD MEMBERS WILL WISH TO COMPARE THEIR PROSPECTIVE PRACTICES IN RELATION TO PPP THROUGH PERIODIC CONSULTATIONS IN THE OECD; AND THAT USG DOES NOT PRESUME TO BE THE ULTIMATE ARBITER OF WHAT CONSTITUTES A REASONABLE IN-

TERPRETATION OF PPP. PRINCIPAL POINT TO BE MADE, HOWEVER, IS THAT USG BELIEVES OECD MEMBER STATES SHOULD CONTINUE TO BE GUIDED BY OECD GUIDING PRINCIPLES RE PPP AS CLARIFIED BY RECENT ACTION PROPOSAL CONSIDERED AT NOVEMBER MINISTERIAL MEETING. ALL ADDRESSEES SHOULD CONTINUE TO FOLLOW THIS ISSUE AND TO REPORT SIGNIFICANT DEVELOPMENTS.

3. FYI. REF (B) REPORTED VIEWS OF EC COMMISSION OFFICIALS CONCERNING GENESIS OF SUBJECT MEMORANDUM. HOWEVER, IN SEVERAL INFORMAL CONVERSATIONS, UK AND NETHERLANDS REPS TO OECD SUBCOMMITTEE OF ECONOMIC EXPERTS ON ENVIRONMENT (PARIS, JAN. 22 - 24) HAD DIFFERENT PERSPECTIVE OF THE EVENTS.

4. THEY STATED THAT THE EC MEMBER COUNTRIES WERE NOT REPEAT NOT CONSULTED IN ADVANCE OF THE ISSUANCE OF THE COMMISSION MEMORANDUM. MOREOVER, THEY BELIEVE THE TIMING OF THE MEMORANDUM WAS A DELIBERATE ATTEMPT ON THE PART OF THOSE IN THE COMMISSION WHO ARE NOT PLEASED WITH THE WAY PPP HAS EVOLVED TO TRY TO PRESENT A DIFFERENT PERSPECTIVE FROM THEN IMPENDING ACTIONS OF BOTH THE EC COUNCIL OF MINISTERS AND THE OECD ENVIRONMENT COMMITTEE.

5. ACCORDING TO THE SAME REPS AND A UK OFFICIAL VISITED IN LONDON, NEITHER THE UK NOR THE NETHERLANDS (NOR IRELAND, ACCORDING TO THE UK) IS PREPARED TO ACCEPT THE COMMISSION MEMORANDUM AS THE FINAL WORD ON THE ISSUE. INDEED, THE NETHERLANDS REPORTEDLY STRONGLY BELIEVES THAT LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 03 STATE 033462

THE EC COMMISSION OVERSTEPPED ITS BOUNDS IN PREPARING ITS PAPER. END FYI.

6. FOLLOWING ARE OUR MORE SPECIFIC THOUGHTS ON THE SUBSTANCE OF THE DOCUMENT. WHILE THE COMMISSION MEMORANDUM IS EVIDENTLY DESIGNED TO ACHIEVE SOME UNIFORMITY WITHIN THE COMMUNITY, AND IS REplete WITH ADVOCACY OF THE PPP, WE BELIEVE IT ARGUES THAT TRANSITIONAL EXCEPTIONS TO THE PPP

ARE IN FACT DESIRABLE AND THUS APPEARS TO INVITE THE MEMBER STATES TO ADOPT TRANSITIONAL AIDS, IN A NON-RESTRICTIVE FASHION, WITHIN THE COMMUNITY. THE RECENT OECD RECOMMENDATION ON CRITERIA FOR ASSISTANCE UNDER THE PPP, IN CONTRAST, STRONGLY DISCOURAGES STATE AIDS ALTHOUGH RECOGNIZING THAT EXCEPTIONS MAY BE WARRANTED IN COMPELLING CIRCUMSTANCES.

7. WE ARE SOMEWHAT CONCERNED ABOUT THE GENERAL APPROACH TAKEN TO STATE AIDS AND THE HIGH CEILING SET OUT IN THE DOCUMENT (UP TO 45 PER CENT NET AFTER TAX SUBSIDY PERMISSIBLE IN 1975/76, UP TO 30 PER CENT IN 1977/78, ETC.) RATHER THAN THE SELECTIVE APPROACH OF REQUIRING MEMBERS

TO JUSTIFY THEIR AIDS ON A CASE-BY-CASE BASIS, AS PROVIDED BY THE OECD. WE ALSO ARE CONCERNED THAT THE USE OF ACROSSTHE-BOARD PERCENTAGE FIGURES MIGHT CONCEIVABLY INVITE ALL THE MEMBER STATES TO PROVIDE AID UP TO THAT LEVEL, EVEN THOUGH AT THE PRESENT TIME ONLY ONE MEMBER STATE (BELGIUM) APPEARS TO HAVE EXPRESSED THE INTENTION TO AVAIL ITSELF OF A 45 PER CENT LEVEL OF AID IN THE ONE FIELD OF WATER POLLUTION.

8. SOME OTHER FORMULATIONS IN THE DOCUMENT RAISE QUESTIONS IN OUR MINDS. THESE INCLUDE THE FOLLOWING:

A. THE PHRASE ON PAGE 9, "ALTHOUGH INITIALLY APPLIED IN A WATERED-DOWN FORM, THE POLLUTER-PAYS PRINCIPLE REMAINS THE OBJECTIVE," IS TO OUR MIND UNFORTUNATE.

B. THE FIRST PARAGRAPH ON PAGE 4 STATES THAT "COMPETITION AND TRADE WITHIN THE COMMUNITY ARE SERIOUSLY DISTORTED" DUE TO DIFFERING POLLUTION-CONTROL REQUIREMENTS. WHILE LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 04 STATE 033462

THERE IS NO EXPLICIT REFERENCE TO DISTORTION OF TRADE WITH COUNTRIES OUTSIDE THE COMMUNITY, THIS RAISES THE QUESTION OF WHETHER THE COMMISSION BELIEVES THAT TRADE WITH SUCH COUNTRIES IS BEING SIMILARLY DISTORTED.

C. WE WONDER EXACTLY HOW AIDS FOR NEW PLANT INVESTMENTS WILL BE TREATED AND ARE FRANKLY PUZZLED AS TO WHAT THE CURRENT INTENT MAY BE. THE ALLUSION TO NEW PLANTS ON PAGE 11, SUBPARAGRAPH (A), APPEARS FAIRLY LOOSE IN TERMINOLOGY AND IS FURTHER CONFUSED BY THE STATEMENT ON THE LAST PAGE THAT "NEW PLANT" WILL HAVE TO BE SET UP TO MEET ENVIRONMENTAL STANDARDS WITHOUT STATE AIDS. ON THE OTHER HAND, THE LAST PAGE SUGGESTS THAT THE EQUALIZATION OF INTERNATIONAL COMPETITIVE STATUS MAY BE THE DRIVING FACTOR IN JUSTIFYING AID TO NEW PLANTS. WE WOULD BE INTERESTED

IN CLARIFICATION FROM THE COMMUNITY AS TO HOW IT RECONCILES THIS LANGUAGE WITH PARAGRAPH 13 OF THE OECD GUIDING PRINCIPLES OF MAY 26, 1972, WHICH STATES, INTER ALIA, THAT DIFFERENCES IN ENVIRONMENTAL POLICIES SHOULD NOT LEAD TO IMPORT LEVIES, EXPORT REBATES, OR "MEASURES HAVING AN EQUIVALENT EFFECT."

9. AS NOTED (PARA 8B, ABOVE) DOCUMENT MAKES NO REFERENCE TO ASSURING THAT EXCEPTIONS TO PPP SHOULD NOT SERVE TO DISTORT TRADE WITH NATIONS OUTSIDE COMMUNITY. WE ASSUME THIS OMISSION STEMS FROM FACT THAT DOCUMENT FOCUSES ON INTERNAL PRACTICES, BUT DESIRE CORROBORATION THAT EC STILL REGARDS THIS OBJECTIVE TO BE A FUNDAMENTAL CRITERION IN DETERMINING WHETHER ANY AIDS ARE ACCEPTABLE.

10. IT WOULD BE INTERESTING TO RECEIVE A FURTHER ELABORATION OF THE COMMISSION'S THINKING OF THE GENERAL CONCEPT EXPRESSED IN THE DOCUMENT, THAT EXCEPTIONS TO THE PPP WILL, IN SOME WAY, SPEED UP ADHERENCE TO THIS SAME PRINCIPLE. WE CAN FORESEE CIRCUMSTANCES WHERE THIS COULD BE TRUE BUT ALSO BELIEVE THERE COULD BE INSTANCES WHERE A SUBSIDY SCHEME, ONCE ADOPTED, WOULD PROVE EXTREMELY DIFFICULT TO DISLODGE.

11. THE COMMISSION OBVIOUSLY ALSO INTENDS TO ENDORSE SOME AIDS AFTER THE INITIAL TRANSITION PERIOD, BUT WE LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 05 STATE 033462

HAVE SUBSTANTIAL DIFFICULTY IN GAUGING HOW LOOSE OR RESTRICTIVE IT INTENDS TO BE IN THE PROCESS. WE FIND THE LANGUAGE TO BE VERY VAGUE AND OPEN-ENDED. SHOULD AIDS BE PROPOSED AFTER THE INITIAL TRANSITION PERIOD, DUE TO THE IMPOSITION OF NEW ENVIRONMENTAL CONSTRAINTS, WE BELIEVE THAT THEY SHOULD BE GRANTED VERY SPARINGLY AND UNDER THE TERMS ENDORSED BY ALL OF THE 24 OECD MEMBER STATES AND THAT THEY IN TURN SHOULD BE SELECTIVE AND TRANSITIONAL IN NATURE. AS IT IS, THE EC COMMISSION PAPER HAS THE FLAVOR OF ENDORSING THE CONCEPT THAT SOME FORM OF SUBSIDIES SHOULD NOW BE CONTEMPLATED IN PERPETUITY. INGERSOLL

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: POLLUTION CONTROL, ENVIRONMENT, POLICIES, MEETINGS
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 13 FEB 1975
Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: MorefiRH
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1975STATE033462
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: H.BENGELSDORF/COMMERCE:W
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: N/A
Errors: N/A
Film Number: D750054-0266
From: STATE
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1975/newtext/t19750245/aaaabnwp.tel
Line Count: 213
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Office: ORIGIN OES
Original Classification: LIMITED OFFICIAL USE
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 4
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: LIMITED OFFICIAL USE
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: (A) COMMISSION MEMO TO MEMBER STATES
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: MorefiRH
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 14 APR 2003
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <14 APR 2003 by KelleyW0>; APPROVED <19 MAY 2003 by MorefiRH>
Review Markings:

Margaret P. Grafeld
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
05 JUL 2006

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: EC COMMISSION PAPER ON THE POLLUTER-PAYS PRINCIPLE (PPP)
TAGS: SENV, EEC, OECD
To: OECD POSTS
Type: TE
Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JUL 2006